

*** – Connectedness in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ideal**

Bitopological spaces

<https://doi.org/10.32792/utq/utj/vol12/1/2>

Alaa saleh Abed

University of kufa

Faculty of Education for Girls,

Department of Mathematics

Alaas.abed@uokufa.edu.iq

Abstract:

In This paper we introduce the nation of $*- Connectedness$ in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ideal Bitopological Space . we obtain several properties of $*- Connectedness$ in Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ideal Bitopological spaces and the relationship between this notion and other related notions.

Keywords:

Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ideal Bitopological Spaces ,

Pairwise $*- Connected$ intuitionistic fuzzy sets ,

Pairwise $*- Separated$ intuitionistic fuzzy sets ,

Pairwise $*- Connected$ intuitionistic fuzzy Ideal Bitopological Space

*** – الأتصال في الفضاءات التوبولوجية الثنائية الحدسية الضبابية ذات المثاليات**

الحدسية الضبابية

الاء صالح عبد

جامعة الكوفة - كلية التربية للبنات

قسم الرياضيات

[Alaas.abed @uokufa.edu.iq](mailto:Alaas.abed@uokufa.edu.iq)

المخلص :

قدمنا في هذا البحث مفهوم * – الأتصال في الفضاءات التوبولوجية الثنائية الحدسية الضبابية ذات المثاليات الحدسية الضبابية . وقد حصلنا على بعض الخواص حول * – الأتصال في الفضاءات التوبولوجية الثنائية الحدسية الضبابية وبعض العلاقات حول هذا المفهوم وارتباطه مع المفاهيم الأخرى ذات العلاقة .

1. Introduction

The concept of " fuzzy sets " interdused by Zadeh [7] in 1965 . The idea of " intuitionistic fuzzy sets " was firt published by Atanassove [5. , 6] in 1986 , 1988 . Then Coker [2 , 3] introduced " intuitionistic fuzzy topological space " using intuitionistic fuzzy set in 1996 , 1997 . The notion of " ideal in intuitionistic fuzzy topological space " was introduced by A.Asalam and S . A . Alblowi [1] in 2012.

Kelly introduced the concept of "bitopological space" as extension of topological space [4] in 1963

Mohammed (2015) introduced the notion of " intuitionistic fuzzy ideal bitopological space" [9] .

The purpose of this paper is to introduce and study the notion of " * – connectedness in intuitionistic fuzzy ideal bitopological space " .

We study the notion of " pairwise * – connected intuitionistic fuzzy ideal bitopological space" .

2. Preliminaries :

Definition 2.1. [7] :-

Let X be a non – empty set and $I = [0 , 1]$ be the closed interval of the real numbers . A fuzzy subset μ of X is defined to be membership function $\mu : X \rightarrow I$, such that $\mu (X) \in I$ for every $x \in X$ The set of all fuzzy subsets of X denoted by I^X .

Definition 2.2 [5] :-

An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFs , for short) A is an object have the form : $A = \{ \langle x , \mu_A(x) , \nu_A(x) \rangle ; x \in X \}$, where the functions $\mu_A : X \rightarrow I$, $\nu_A : X \rightarrow I$ denote the degree of membership and the degree of non – membership of each element $x \in X$ to the set A respectively ,and $0 \leq \mu_A (x) + \nu_A(x) \leq 1$, for each $x \in X$. The set of all intuitionistic fuzzy sets in X denoted by $IFS (x)$.

Definition 2.3. [3] :-

$0_{\sim} = \langle x , 0 , 1 \rangle$, $1_{\sim} = \langle x , 1 , 0 \rangle$ are the intuitionistic sets corresponding to empty set and the entire universe respectively .

University of Thi-Qar Journal Vol.12 No.1 Mar 2017

Web Site: <https://jutq.utq.edu.iq/index.php/main>

Email: journal@jutq.utq.edu.iq

Definition 2.4. [2] :-

Let X be a non – empty set . An intuitionistic fuzzy point (IFP , for short) denoted by $x_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy set have the form

$$x_{(\alpha,\beta)}(y) = \begin{cases} \langle x, \alpha, \beta \rangle & ; \quad x = y \\ \langle x, 0, 1 \rangle & ; \quad x \neq y \end{cases} , \text{ where } x \in X \text{ is a fixed point ,}$$

and $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1]$ satisfy $\alpha + \beta \leq 1$. The set of all IFPs denoted by $IFP (x)$. If $\in IFs (x)$. We say the $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A$ if and only if $\alpha \leq \mu_A(x)$ and $\beta \geq \nu_A(x)$, for each $x \in X$.

Definition 2.5. [2] :-

Let $A = \langle x, \mu_A (x), \nu_A(x) \rangle$, $B = \langle X, \mu_B (x), \nu_B(x) \rangle$ be two intuitionistic fuzzy sets in X . A is said to be quasi – coincident with B (written AqB) if and only if , there exists an element $x \in X$ such that $\mu_A (x) > \nu_B(x)$ or $\nu_A(x) < \mu_B (x)$, otherwise A is not quasi – coincident with B and denoted by $A\tilde{q}B$.

Definition 2.6. [2] :-

Let $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in IFP(X)$ and $\in IFS (X)$. We say that $x_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ quasi – coincident with A denoted $x_{(\alpha,\beta)}q A$ if and only if , $\alpha > \nu_A(x)$ or $\beta < \mu_A (x)$, otherwise $x_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is not quasi – coincident with A and denoted by $x_{(\alpha,\beta)}\tilde{q} A$.

Definition 2.7.[2] :-

Let $x_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy point in X and $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A (x), \nu_A(x) \rangle , x \in X \}$ an IFS in X . Suppose further α and β are real numbers between 0 and 1 . The intuitionistic fuzzy point $x_{(\alpha,\beta)}$

is said to be properly contained in A if and only if , $\alpha < \mu_A(x)$ and $\beta > \nu_A(x)$.

Definition 2.8.[2] :-

An intuitionistic fuzzy point $x_{(\alpha,\beta)}$ is said to be belong to an intuitionistic fuzzy set A in X , denoted by $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A$ if $\alpha \leq \mu_A(x)$ and $\beta \geq \nu_A(x)$.

Proposition 2.9. [3] :-

Let A, B be IFSs and $x(\alpha, \beta)$ an IFP in X . Then

- 1- $A \tilde{q} B \Leftrightarrow A \leq B$
- 2- $A q B \Leftrightarrow A \not\leq B^C$,
- 3- $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A \Leftrightarrow x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \tilde{q} A^C$,
- 4- $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} q A \Leftrightarrow x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \notin A^C$.

Proposition 2.10. [8] :-

For $A, B \in$ IFS and $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in$ IFP (X) , we have :

- i - $A \leq B$ if and only if , for $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in A$ then $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in B$ -i
- ii - $A \leq B$ if and only if , for $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} q A$ then $x_{(\alpha,\beta)} q B$.

Lemma 2.11. [10] :-

Let A, B and C be intuitionistic fuzzy sets . If $q(A \cup B)$, then $C q A$ or $C q B$.

Definition 2.12. [3] :-

An intuitionistic fuzzy topology (IFT , for short) on a non empty set X is a family τ of an intuitionistic fuzzy set in X such that

- (i) $0_{\sim}, 1_{\sim} \in \tau$,
- (ii) $G_1 \cap G_2 \in \tau$, for any $G_1, G_2 \in \tau$,
- (iii) $\cup G_i \in \tau$, for any arbitrary family $\{ G_i : i \in J \} \subseteq \tau$.

In this case the pair (X, τ) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space (IFTS, in short).

Definition 2.13. [3] :-

Let (X, τ) be an intuitionistic fuzzy topological space and $A = \{ \langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) \rangle, x \in X \}$ be an intuitionistic fuzzy set in X then, an intuitionistic fuzzy interior and intuitionistic fuzzy closure of A are respectively defined by

$$\text{int}(A) = A^\circ = \cup \{G : G \text{ is an IFOS in } X \text{ and } G \subseteq A\}$$

$$\text{cl}(A) = \bar{A} = \cap \{K : K \text{ is an IFCS in } X \text{ and } A \subseteq K\}.$$

Definition 2.14. [3] :-

A non – empty collection of intuitionistic fuzzy sets L of a set X is called intuitionistic fuzzy ideal on X (IFI, for short) such that :

- (i) If $A \in L$ and $B \leq A \Rightarrow B \in L$ (heredity)
- (ii) If $A \in L$ and $B \in L \Rightarrow A \vee B \in L$ (finite additivity). If (X, τ) be an IFTS, then the triple (X, τ, L) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal topological space (IFITS, for short).

Definition 2.15. [1] :-

Let (X, τ, L) be an IFITS. If $A \in \text{IFS}(X)$. Then the intuitionistic fuzzy local function $A^*(L, \tau)$ (A^* , for short) of A in (X, τ, L) is the union of all intuitionistic fuzzy points $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ such that :

$$A^*(L, \tau) = \cup \{x_{(\alpha, \beta)} : A \wedge U \notin L, \text{ for every } U \in N(x_{(\alpha, \beta)}, \tau)\}, \text{ where}$$

$N(x_{(\alpha, \beta)}, \tau)$ is the set of all quasi – neighborhoods of an IFP $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ in τ

. The intuitionistic fuzzy closure operator of an IFS A is defined by

$cl^*(A) = A \vee A^*$, and $\tau^*(L)$ is an IFT finer than τ generated $cl^*(\cdot)$ and defined as

$$\tau^*(L) = \{A : cl^*(A^c) = A^c\} .$$

Lemma 2.16. [8] :-

Let (X, τ, L) be an IFITS and $B \subset A \subset X$. Then

$$B^*(\tau_A, L_A) = B^*(\tau, L) \cap A .$$

Lemma 2.17. [8] :-

Let (X, τ, L) be an IFITS and $B \subset A \subset X$. Then

$$cl_A^*(B) = cl^*(B) \cap A .$$

Definition 2.18. [8] :-

An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS) A of intuitionistic fuzzy ideal topological space (X, τ, L) is said to be $*$ -dense if $cl^*(A) = X$.

An intuitionistic fuzzy ideal topological space (X, τ, L) is said to be $*$ -hyperconnected if IFS A is $*$ -dense for every IF open subset $A \neq \emptyset$ of X .

Lemma 2.19. [8] :-

Let (X, τ, L) be an IFITS for each $v \in \tau^*$, $\tau_v^* = (\tau_v)^*$.

Lemma 2.20. [8] :-

Let (X, τ, L) be an IFITS , $A \subset Y \subset X$ and $Y \in \tau$. The following are equivalent

(1) A is $*$ -IF open in Y , (2) A is $*$ -IF open in X .

Proof :- (1) \implies (2) let A be $*$ -IF open in Y .Since $Y \in \tau \subset \tau^*$, by lemma (2.19) , A is $*$ -IF open in X .

Let A be $*$ -IF open in X . By lemma (2.19), $A = A \cap Y$ is $*$ -IF open in X . (2) \Rightarrow (1).

Definition 2.21. [8] :-

Two non empty intuitionistic fuzzy sets A and B of an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal topological space (X, τ, L) are said to be intuitionistic fuzzy $*$ – separated sets (IF $*$ – separated sets , for short) if $cl^*(A) \tilde{q} B$ and $A \tilde{q} cl(B)$.

Definition 2.22. [8] :-

An intuitionistic fuzzy set E in intuitionistic fuzzy ideal topological space (X, τ, L) is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy $*$ – connected if it can not be expressed as the Union of two intuitionistic fuzzy $*$ – separated sets . otherwise , E is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy $*$ – disconnected .

If $E = X$, then X is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy $*$ – connected space .

Definition 2.23. [8] :-

Let τ_1 and τ_2 be two intuitionistic fuzzy topologies on a non – empty set X . The Triple (X, τ_1, τ_2) is called an intuitionistic fuzzy bitopological space (IFBTS , for short) , every member of τ_i is called τ_i – intuitionistic fuzzy open set (τ_i – IFOS) , $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and the complement of τ_i – IFOS is τ_i – intuitionistic fuzzy closed set (τ_i – IFCS) , $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Example 2.24.[8] :-

Let $X = \{e, d\}$ and $A, B \in IFS(X)$ such that $= \langle x, (0.3, 0.1), (0.5, 0.6) \rangle$,

$B = \langle x, (0.2, 0.4), (0.7, 0.3) \rangle$. Let $\tau_1 = \{0_\sim, 1_\sim, A\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{0_\sim, 1_\sim, B\}$ be two IFTS on X . Then (X, τ_1, τ_2) is IFBTS .

Definition 2.25.[8] :-

Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an IFBTS , $A \in IFS(X)$ and $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} \in IFP(X)$. Then A is said to be quasi – neighborhood of $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ if there exists a τ_i – IFOS B , $i \in \{1, 2\}$ such that $x_{(\alpha, \beta)} q B \leq A$. The set of all quasi – neighborhoods of $x_{(\alpha, \beta)}$ in (X, τ_1, τ_2) is denoted by : $N(x_{(\alpha, \beta)}, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Definition 2.26.[8] :-

An intuitionistic fuzzy bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2) with an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal L on X is called intuitionistic fuzzy ideal bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2, L) and denoted by IFLBTS

Example 2.27. [8] :-

Let $X = \{e\}$ and $A, B \in IFS(X)$ such that $= \langle X, 0.3, 0.5 \rangle$, $B = \langle X, 0.2, 0.4 \rangle$. Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an IFLBTS , where $\tau_1 = \{0_\sim, 1_\sim, A\}$ and $\tau_2 = \{0_\sim, 1_\sim, B\}$. If $L = \{0_\sim, A, C : C \in IFS(X) \text{ and } C \leq A\}$ be an IFL on X . Then (X, τ_1, τ_2) is IFLBTS .

Definition 2.28. [8] :-

Let (X, τ_1, τ_2, L) be an IFLBTS and $A \in IFS(X)$. Then the intuitionistic fuzzy local function of A in (x, τ_1, τ_2, L) denoted by $A^*(L, \tau_i), i \in \{1, 2\}$ and defined by as follows :

$A^*(L, \tau_i) = \bigvee \{x_{(\alpha, \beta)} : A \wedge U \notin L, \text{ for every } U \in N(x_{(\alpha, \beta)}, \tau_i)\}, i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Definition 2.29. [8]:-

Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an IFBTS and \in IFS (X) . Then intuitionistic fuzzy interior and intuitionistic fuzzy closure of A with respect to $\tau_i, i \in \{1, 2\}$ are defined by :

$$\tau_i - \text{int} (A) = \vee \{G : G \text{ is a } \tau_i - \text{IFOS}, G \leq A\} .$$

$$\tau_i - \text{cl} (A) = \wedge \{K : K \text{ is a } \tau_i - \text{IFCS}, A \leq K\} .$$

Proposition 2.30.[8] :-

Let (X, τ_1, τ_2) be an IFBTS and \in IFS (X) . Then we have :

- (i) $\tau_i - \text{int} (A) \leq A, i \in \{1, 2\}$
- (ii) $\tau_i - \text{int} (A)$ is a largest $\tau_i - \text{IFOS}$ contains in A
- (iii) A is a $\tau_i - \text{IFOS}$ if and only if $\tau_i - \text{int} (A) = A$
- (iv) $\tau_i - \text{int} (\tau_i - \text{int} (A)) = \tau_i - \text{int} (A)$.
- (v) $A \leq \tau_i - \text{cl} (A), i \in \{1, 2\}$.
- (vi) $\tau_i - \text{cl} (A)$ is smallest $\tau_i - \text{IFCS}$ contains A .
- (vii) A is a $\tau_i - \text{IFCS}$ if and only if $\tau_i - \text{cl} (A) = A$.
- (viii) $\tau_i - \text{cl} (\tau_i - \text{cl} (A)) = \tau_i - \text{cl} (A)$
- (ix) $[\tau_i - \text{int} (A)]^c = \tau_i - \text{cl} (A^c), i \in \{1, 2\}$.
- (x) $[\tau_i - \text{cl} (A)]^c = \tau_i - \text{int} (A^c), i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Definition 2.31. [8] :-

We define $*$ – intuitionistic fuzzy closure operator for intuitionistic fuzzy bitopology $\tau_i^*(L)$ as follows :

$\tau_i - \text{cl}^*(A) = A \vee A^*(L, \tau_i)$ for every $A \in \tau_i - \text{IFS} (X)$.Also , $\tau_i^*(L)$ is called an intuitionistic fuzzy bitopology generated by $\tau_i - \text{cl}^*(A)$ and defined as :

$$\tau_i^*(L) = \{A : \tau_i - \text{cl}^*(A^c) = A^c, i \in \{1, 2\}\} .$$

Note : $\tau_i^*(L)$ finer than intuitionistic fuzzy bitopology τ_i , ($i \in \{1, 2\}$, $\tau_i \leq \tau_i^*(L)$) .

Remark 2.32. [8] :-

(i) If $L = \{0_\sim\} \Rightarrow A^*(L, \tau_i) = \tau_i - \text{cl}(A)$, for any $A \in \text{IFS}(X)$

$\Rightarrow \tau_i - \text{cl}^*(A) = A \vee A^*(L, \tau_i) = A \vee \tau_i - \text{cl}(A) = \tau_i - \text{cl}(A)$

$\Rightarrow \tau_i^*(\{0_\sim\}) = \tau_i$, $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

(ii) If $L = \text{IFS}(X) \Rightarrow A^*(L, \tau_i) = 0_\sim$, for any $A \in \text{IFS}(X)$

$\Rightarrow \tau_i - \text{cl}^*(A) = A \vee A^*(L, \tau_i) = A \vee 0_\sim = A$

$\Rightarrow \tau_i^*(L)$ is the intuitionistic fuzzy discrete bitopology on X .

3. Main Results

3.1 * – Connectedness in Intuitionistic fuzzy Ideal

Bitopological Spaces

Definition 3.1.1 :-

Two non empty τ_i – intuitionistic fuzzy sets A and B of an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2, L) , $i \in \{1, 2\}$, are said to be intuitionistic fuzzy * – separated sets (τ_i – IF * – separated sets , for short) , $i \in \{1, 2\}$ if

$\tau_i - \text{cl}^*(A) \tilde{\cap} B$ and $A \tilde{\cap} \tau_i - \text{cl}(B)$

Proposition 3.1.2 :-

Let A and B be an τ_i – intuitionistic fuzzy * – separated sets in IFLBT (X, τ_1, τ_2, L) , A, B are two non empty τ_i – intuitionistic fuzzy * – separated sets such that $A_1 \leq A$ and $B_1 \leq B$ then A_1 and B_1 are τ_i – intuitionistic fuzzy * – separated sets in X , $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

Proof :-

Since $A_1 \leq A$ and $B_1 \leq B$, we have

$\tau_i - cl^*(A_1) \leq \tau_i - cl^*(A)$ and $\tau_i - cl(B_1) \leq \tau_i - cl(B)$, Since A, B are $\tau_i -$ intuitionistic fuzzy $*$ -separated then,

$\tau_i - cl^*(A) \tilde{q} B$ and $\tilde{q} \tau_i - cl(B)$, $i \in \{1,2\}$

Therefore $\tau_i - cl^*(A) \tilde{q} B$ we get $\tau_i - cl^*(A_1) \tilde{q} B_1$

And $\tilde{q} \tau_i - cl(B)$, and also we get $A_1 \tilde{q} \tau_i - cl(B_1)$, $i \in \{1,2\}$

Then A_1 and B_1 are $\tau_i - IF *$ -separated.

Theorem 3.1.3 :-

Let A be $\tau_i -$ intuitionistic fuzzy open set ($\tau_i - IFOS$), $i \in \{1,2\}$ and B be $*$ - $\tau_i -$ intuitionistic fuzzy open set in intuitionistic fuzzy ideal bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2, L) . Then A and B are $\tau_i - IF *$ -separated sets in X if and only if $A \tilde{q} B$.

Proof :-

(\implies) suppose that $A \tilde{q} B$, then exists an element $x \in X$ such that $\mu_A(x) > \nu_B(x)$ or $\nu_A(x) < \mu_B(x)$, and since

$A \subseteq \tau_i - cl^*(A)$ and $\subseteq \tau_i - cl(B)$, $i = \{1,2\}$

This implies $\mu_{\tau_i - cl^*(A)}(x) > \nu_B(x)$ or $\nu_{\tau_i - cl^*(A)}(x) < \mu_B(x)$

And $\mu_A(x) > \nu_{\tau_i - cl(B)}(x)$ or $\nu_A(x) < \mu_{\tau_i - cl(B)}(x)$, $i \in \{1,2\}$

Then $\tau_i - cl^*(A) \tilde{q} B$ and $A \tilde{q} \tau_i - cl(B)$, $i \in \{1,2\}$

This is contradiction. Hence $\tilde{q} B$.

(\impliedby) Suppose that $\tilde{q} B$.

By proposition (2.9), we have $A \leq B^c$

Since B^c is $\tau_i -$ intuitionistic fuzzy closed set, $i \in \{1,2\}$

Therefore, $\tau_i - cl^*(A) \leq \tau_i - cl^*(B^c) = B^c$, $i \in \{1,2\} \rightarrow \tau_i - cl^*(A) \leq B^c$

Hence by proposition (2.9), we get $\tau_i - \text{cl}^*(A)\tilde{q}(B^c)^c$.

Then $\tau_i - \text{cl}^*(A)\tilde{q}B \dots (1)$

Let $\leq B^c$, since B^c is $* -\tau_i -\text{IFCS}$ in X .

Therefore , $\tau_i - \text{cl}(A) \leq \tau_i - \text{cl}(B^c) = B^c$, $i \in \{1,2\}$

Hence by proposition (2.9) , we have $\tau_i - \text{cl}(A)\tilde{q}(B^c)^c$,then $\tau_i - \text{cl}(A)\tilde{q}B$

Since $A \subseteq \tau_i - \text{cl}(A)$ and $\subseteq \tau_i - \text{cl}(B)$, $i \in \{1,2\}$

Thus $A\tilde{q}\tau_i - \text{cl}(B) \dots(2)$

From (1) and (2) we get A and B are $\tau_i - \text{IF} * -$ separated sets in X .

Proposition 3.1.4 :-

Let A be an $* -\tau_i -\text{IFCS}$ and B is an $\tau_i -\text{IFCS}$, $i \in \{1,2\}$ in intuitionistic fuzzy ideal bitopological space $(X , \tau_1 , \tau_2 , L)$.

Then A and B are $\tau_i -\text{IF} * -$ Separated sets in X if and only if $\tilde{q}B$.

Proof :-

(\Rightarrow) suppose that A , B are $\tau_i -\text{IF} * -$ separated sets in X .

$\Rightarrow \tau_i - \text{cl}^*(A)\tilde{q}B$ and $\tilde{q}\tau_i - \text{cl}(B)$, $i \in \{1,2\}$

Since A is $* -\tau_i -\text{IFCS}$, then $\tau_i - \text{cl}^*(A) = A$, $i \in \{1,2\}$, we get $A\tilde{q}B$

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that $A\tilde{q}B$

Since A is $* -\tau_i -\text{IFCS}$ and B is $\tau_i -\text{IFCS}$, $i \in \{1,2\}$

Therefore , $\tau_i - \text{cl}^*(A) = A$ and $\tau_i - \text{cl}(B) = B$, $i \in \{1,2\}$

We get $\tau_i - \text{cl}^*(A)\tilde{q}B$ and $A\tilde{q}\tau_i - \text{cl}(B)$

Hence A , B are $\tau_i -\text{IF} * -$ separated sets in X .

Definition 3.1.5 :-

An τ_i –intuitionistic fuzzy set (τ_i –IFS) A of intuitionistic fuzzy ideal bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2, L) is said to be $*$ – τ_i – dense if τ_i – $cl^*(A) = X, i \in \{1,2\}$

An IF ideal bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2, L) is said to be $*$ –hyperconnected if τ_i –IFS A is $*$ – τ_i –dense for every τ_i –IF open subset $A \neq \emptyset$ of $X, i \in \{1,2\}$.

Theorem 3.1.6 :-

Let (X, τ_1, τ_2, L) be an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal bitopological space and A, B are τ_i –intuitionistic fuzzy sets such that $A, B \subset Y \subset X$. Then A and B are τ_i –IF $*$ –separated in Y if and only if A, B are τ_i –IF $*$ –separated in X .

Proof :- It follows from lemma (2.17) that τ_i – $cl^*(A) \tilde{q} B$ and $A \tilde{q} \tau_i$ – $cl(B), i \in \{1,2\}$.

Proposition 3.1.7 :-

Let A be an τ_i –intuitionistic fuzzy open set (τ_i –IFOS) and B is an $*$ – τ_i –intuitionistic fuzzy open set ($*$ – τ_i –IFOS) in IFLBTS (X, τ_1, τ_2, L) . Then the sets $C_A B = A \wedge B^c$ and $C_B A = B \wedge A^c$ are τ_i –IF $*$ –separated in X .

Proof :-

Since $C_A B = A \wedge B^c, C_A B \leq B^c$

τ_i – $cl^*(C_A B) \leq \tau_i$ – $cl^*(B^c) = B^c$ because B^c is $*$ – τ_i –IFCS ,

By proposition (2.9) we get

$$\tau_i$$
 – $cl^*(C_A B) \tilde{q} (B^c)^c \Rightarrow \tau_i$ – $cl^*(C_A B) \tilde{q} B, i \in \{1,2\}$

Since $C_B A \leq B$

Therefore τ_i – $cl^*(C_A B) \tilde{q} C_B A \dots (1)$

$$C_B A \leq A^c$$

$$\tau_i - \text{cl}(C_B A) \leq \tau_i - \text{cl}(A^c) = A^c, i \in \{1,2\}$$

$$\tau_i - \text{cl}(C_B A) \leq A^c$$

$$\Rightarrow \tau_i - \text{cl}(C_B A) \tilde{q} (A^c)^c, i \in \{1,2\} \Rightarrow \tau_i - \text{cl}(C_B A) \tilde{q} A, i \in \{1,2\}$$

Since $C_A B \leq A$

Then $\tau_i - \text{cl}(C_B A) \tilde{q} C_A B \dots (2)$

From (1) and (2) we get, $C_A B, C_B A$ are $\tau_i - \text{IF}^* -$ separated set in X .

Proposition 3.1.8 :-

Let A be an $* - \tau_i -$ intuitionistic fuzzy closed set ($* - \tau_i - \text{IFCS}$) and B be $\tau_i -$ intuitionistic fuzzy closed set ($\tau_i - \text{IFCS}$) in $\text{IFLBTS}(X, \tau_1, \tau_2, L)$. Then the $\tau_i - \text{IFS}$ $C_A B = A \wedge B^c$ and $C_B A = B \wedge A^c$ are $\tau_i - \text{IF}^* -$ separated sets in $X, i \in \{1,2\}$.

Proof :-

Since A is $* - \tau_i - \text{IFCS}$ and B is an $\tau_i - \text{IFCS}, i \in \{1,2\}$

So $A = \tau_i - \text{cl}^*(A)$ and $B = \tau_i - \text{cl}(B)$

$$C_A B \leq A \Rightarrow \tau_i - \text{cl}^*(C_A B) \leq \tau_i - \text{cl}^*(A) = A, i \in \{1,2\}$$

By proposition (2.9) we get

$$\tau_i - \text{cl}^*(C_A B) \tilde{q} A^c$$

Since $C_B A \leq A^c$, then $\tau_i - \text{cl}^*(C_A B) \tilde{q} C_B B \dots (1)$

$$\text{Since } C_B A \leq B \Rightarrow \tau_i - \text{cl}(C_B A) \leq \tau_i - \text{cl}(B) = B, i \in \{1,2\}$$

By proposition (2.9) we get

$$\tau_i - \text{cl}(C_B A) \tilde{q} B^c$$

Since $C_A B \leq B^c$, then $\tau_i - \text{cl}(C_B A) \tilde{q} C_A B \dots (2)$

$C_A B, C_B A$ are $\tau_i - \text{IF}^* -$ separated sets in X .

Theorem 3.1.9 :-

Let (X, τ_1, τ_2, L) be IFLBTS . Then A and B are two τ_i -IF $*$ -separated sets if and only if there exists an τ_i -intuitionistic fuzzy open set $(\tau_i$ -IFOS $)U$ and $*$ - τ_i -intuitionistic fuzzy open set V ($*$ - τ_i -IFOS $)$, $i \in \{1,2\}$

Such that $A \leq U, B \leq V, A \tilde{q} V$ and $B \tilde{q} U$.

Proof :-

(\implies) Suppose that A, B are τ_i -IF $*$ -separated sets .

$\implies \tau_i - cl^*(A) \tilde{q} B$ and $A \tilde{q} \tau_i - cl(B)$

Now put $V = (\tau_i - cl^*(A))^c$ and $U = (\tau_i - cl(B))^c$

So U is τ_i -IFOS and V $*$ - τ_i -IFOS , $i \in \{1,2\}$

Then $V^c \tilde{q} B$ and $A \tilde{q} U^c$

By proposition (2.9) we get $V^c \leq B^c \implies B \leq V$ and $A \leq U$

So $A \leq (\tau_i - cl(B))^c$ and $B \leq (\tau_i - cl^*(A))^c$

Since $B \subseteq \tau_i - cl(B)$ and since $\tau_i - cl^*(A) = A \vee A^*(L, \tau_i)$, $i \in \{1,2\}$, then $A \subseteq \tau_i - cl^*(A)$

Then $A \leq V^c$ and $B \leq U^c$

Therefore , $A \tilde{q} V$ and $B \tilde{q} U$.

(\impliedby) Suppose that there exist U be τ_i -IFos and V be $*$ - τ_i -IFOS in X such that $A \leq U$,

$B \leq V, A \tilde{q} V$ and $B \tilde{q} U$.

Now U^c is τ_i -IFCS and V^c is an $*$ - τ_i -IFcs in X , $i \in \{1,2\}$

Since $A \tilde{q} V$ and $B \tilde{q} U$, then $A \leq V^c$ and $B \leq U^c$.

Since $A \leq U$ and $B \leq V$, thus $U^c \leq A^c$ and $V^c \leq B^c$

Since $A \leq V^c \Rightarrow \tau_i - \text{cl}^*(A) \leq \tau_i - \text{cl}^*(V^c) = V^c$

Because V^c is $* - \tau_i - \text{IFCS}$

$\Rightarrow \tau_i - \text{cl}^*(A) \leq V^c \leq B^c$, since $B \leq U^c$

$\Rightarrow \tau_i - \text{cl}(B) \leq \tau_i - \text{cl}(U^c) = U^c$, because U^c is $\tau_i - \text{IFCS}$, $i \in \{1,2\}$

Thus $\tau_i - \text{cl}(B) \leq U^c \leq A^c$

By proposition (2.9) $\tau_i - \text{cl}^*(A) \leq B^c$,

Then $\tau_i - \text{cl}^*(A) \tilde{q} B \dots (1)$

$\tau_i - \text{cl}(B) \leq A^c \Rightarrow \tau_i - \text{cl}(B) \tilde{q} A$, then $A \tilde{q} \tau_i - \text{cl}(B) \dots (2)$

Hence A , B are $\tau_i - \text{IF} * -$ separated sets

Definition 3.1.10 :-

An $\tau_i -$ intuitionistic fuzzy set E in intuitionistic fuzzy ideal bitopological space $(X , \tau_1 , \tau_2 , L)$ is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy $* -$ connected if it can not be expressed as the Union of two intuitionistic fuzzy $* -$ separated sets . Otherwise , E is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy $* -$ disconnected . If $E = X$, then X is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy $* -$ connected space . And we shall denoted it by $(\tau_i - \text{IF} * - \text{connected sets} , \text{for short } i \in \{1,2\})$.

Theorem 3.1.11 :-

Let A and B be $\tau_i -$ intuitionistic fuzzy $* -$ separated sets in an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal bitopological pace $(X , \tau_1 , \tau_2 , L)$ and E be a non empty $\tau_i - \text{IF} * -$ connected set in X such that $E \leq A \vee B$. Then exactly one of the following conditions holds :

- a) $E \leq A$ and $E \wedge B = 0_{\sim}$
- b) $E \leq B$ and $E \wedge A = 0_{\sim}$.

Proof :-

Let $E \wedge B = 0_{\sim}$

Since $E \leq A \vee B$ then $E \leq A$

Similarly , if $E \vee A = 0_{\sim}$ we have $E \leq B$

Since $E \leq A \vee B$ then $E \wedge A = 0_{\sim}$ and $E \wedge B = 0_{\sim}$ can not hold simultaneously (because $E \neq 0_{\sim}$)

Suppose that $E \wedge B \neq 0_{\sim}$ and $E \wedge A \neq 0_{\sim}$.

Then $E \wedge A$ and $E \wedge B$ are τ_i -IF * -separated set in X such that

$E = (E \wedge A) \vee (E \wedge B)$ therefore E is an τ_i -intuitionistic fuzzy * -disconnectedness of E .

This is contradiction

Hence exactly one of the conditions (a) and (b) must hold .

Theorem 3.1.12 :-

Let E, F be two τ_i -intuitionistic fuzzy sets of IFLBTS (X, τ_1, τ_2, L) if E is an τ_i -IF * -connected and $E \leq F \leq \tau_i - cl^*(E)$, $i \in \{1,2\}$. Then F is an τ_i -IF * -connected set .

Proof :-

If $E = 0_{\sim}$, then the result is true .

Let $E \neq 0_{\sim}$ and E is an IF * -disconnected. There exist two τ_i -IF * -separated sets A and B in X such that $E = A \vee B$. Since E is an τ_i -IF * -connected and

$$E \leq F = E \vee F, E \leq F = A \vee B, E \leq A \vee B$$

So by theorem (3.1.11) , we get

$$E \leq A \text{ and } E \wedge B = 0_{\sim} \text{ or } E \leq B \text{ and } E \wedge A = 0_{\sim}$$

Let $E \leq A$ and $E \wedge B = 0_{\sim}$

$$B = B \wedge F \leq B \wedge \tau_i - cl^*(E) \leq B \wedge \tau_i - cl^*(A) \leq B \wedge B^c \leq B, i \in \{1,2\}$$

It follows that $B = B \wedge B^c$ when $B = 0_{\sim}$ or $\mu_B(x) = \nu_B(x), \forall x \in X$.

Since $\neq 0_{\sim} \Rightarrow \mu_B(x) = \nu_B(x), \forall x \in X$.

Thus, $B_r = X$ where B_0 denotes the support of B .

Now $E \wedge B = 0_{\sim}$ implies $E_r \wedge B_r = \emptyset \Rightarrow E_r = \emptyset \Rightarrow E = \emptyset$

Which is a contradiction

Similarly, if $E \leq B$ and $E \wedge A = 0_{\sim}$, then we get $E = 0_{\sim}$ a contradiction

Hence F is an τ_i -intuitionistic fuzzy $*$ -connected.

Theorem 3.1.13 :-

Let A and B be two τ_i -intuitionistic fuzzy $*$ -connected sets which are not τ_i -intuitionistic fuzzy $*$ -separated. Then $A \vee B$ is τ_i -intuitionistic fuzzy $*$ -connected set.

Proof :-

Suppose that $A \vee B$ is an τ_i -intuitionistic fuzzy $*$ -disconnected set $\Rightarrow A \vee B = G \vee H$ where G and H are τ_i -intuitionistic fuzzy $*$ -separated sets in X .

Since $A \leq A \vee B$ and $B \leq A \vee B$

Then $A \leq G \vee H$ and $B \leq G \vee H$

By theorem (3.1.11), we get

$A \leq G$ with $A \wedge H = 0_{\sim}$ or $A \leq H$ with $A \wedge G = 0_{\sim}$.

And $B \leq G$ with $B \wedge H = 0_{\sim}$ or $B \leq H$ with $\wedge G = 0_{\sim}$.

If $A \leq G$ and $B \leq H$ or $A \leq H$ and $B \leq G$

We get that A and B are τ_i –intuitionistic fuzzy $*$ –separated and this contradiction

If $A \leq G$ with $B \wedge H = 0_{\sim}$ and $B \leq G$ with $\wedge H = 0_{\sim}$.

If $A \leq H$ with $A \wedge G = 0_{\sim}$ and $B \leq H$ with $B \wedge G = 0_{\sim}$

We get that

$A \vee B \leq G$ and $H = 0_{\sim}$ or $A \vee B \leq H$ and $G = 0_{\sim}$ which contradiction , therefore , $A \vee B$ is τ_i –intuitionistic fuzzy $*$ –connected set .

Theorem 3.1.14 :-

Let $f: (X, \tau_1, \tau_2, L) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_1, \tau_2)$ is intuitionistic fuzzy continuous on to mapping , if (X, τ_1, τ_2, L) is an τ_i –intuitionistic fuzzy $*$ –connected ideal bitopological space .Then (Y, τ_1, τ_2) is also τ_i –intuitionistic fuzzy $*$ –connected bitopological space .

Proof :-

It is known that connectedness is preserved by intuitionistic fuzzy continuous surjections .

The proof is clear.

Corollary 3.1.15 :-

If IFS A is an τ_i –intuitionistic fuzzy $*$ –connected set in an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal bitopological space (X, τ_1, τ_2, L) . Then $\tau_i - cl^*(A)$, $i \in \{1,2\}$ is τ_i –intuitionistic fuzzy $*$ –connected set .

Proof :-

Since $\tau_i - cl^*(A) = A \vee A^*(L, \tau_i)$, $i \in \{1,2\}$,

Then $\subseteq \tau_i - cl^*(A)$.

Since A is τ_i –IF $*$ –connected set and $A \subseteq \tau_i - cl^*(A)$.

By theorem (3.1.12)

$\tau_i - cl^*(A)$ is an $\tau_i - IF * -$ connected set .

Theorem 3.1.16 :-

If $\{\mu_i: i \in N\}$ is a non empty family of $\tau_i -$ intuitionistic fuzzy $*$ $-$ connected sets of an IFLBTS (X, τ_1, τ_2, L) with $\bigcap_{i \in I} \mu_i \neq \emptyset$. Then $\bigcup_{i \in I} \mu_i$ is an $\tau_i -$ intuitionistic fuzzy $*$ $-$ connected set .

Proof :-

Suppose that $\bigcup_{i \in I} \mu_i$ is not $\tau_i - IF * -$ connected set .

Then by definition (3.1.10) , there exist two $\tau_i - IF * -$ separated sets H and G , such that

$\bigcup_{i \in I} \mu_i = H \cup G$, since $\bigcap_{i \in I} \mu_i \neq \emptyset$. We have a point x in $\bigcap_{i \in I} \mu_i$.

Since $x \in \bigcup_{i \in I} \mu_i$, either $x \in H$ or $x \in G$.

Suppose that $x \in H$. Since $x \in \mu_i$ for each $i \in N$, then μ_i and H intersect for each $i \in N$.

By theorem (3.1.11) $\mu_i \subset H$ and $\mu_i \wedge G = 0_{\sim}$ or $\mu_i \subset G$ and $\mu_i \cap H = 0_{\sim}$.

Suppose that $\mu_i \subset H \Rightarrow \mu_i \subset H$ for all $i \in N$ and hence $\bigcup_{i \in I} \mu_i \subset H$.

This implies that $\tau_i - IF * -$ separated set G is empty .

This is a contradiction .

Suppose that $\mu_i \subset G$. By similar way , we get $H = \emptyset$.

And this is a contradiction .

Thus , $\bigcup_{i \in I} \mu_i$ is an $\tau_i -$ intuitionistic fuzzy $*$ $-$ connected set .

Theorem 3.1.17 :-

Suppose that $\{\mu_n: n \in N\}$ is an sequence of $\tau_i -$ intuitionistic fuzzy $*$ $-$ connected open sets of an intuitionistic fuzzy ideal bitopological space

(X, τ_1, τ_2, L) and $\mu_n \cap \mu_{n+1} \neq \emptyset$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{I}} \mu_i$ is τ_i -IF* -connected set .

Proof :-

By induction and theorem (3.1.16)

The $N_n = \bigcup_{k \leq n} \mu_k$ is τ_i -IF* -connected open set for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Also , N_n is τ_i -IF* -connected open set .

Thus , $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mu_n$ is τ_i -IF* -connected set .

References

[1] A.A.Salama and S.A.Alblowi , "intuitionistic fuzzy ideal topological spaces" ,Advances in fuzzy Mathematics , ISSN 0973-533x vol..7 ,No.1 , 2012 , PP 51-60 .

[2] D.Coker , "On intuitionistic fuzzy points " ,Notes IFSI , (1995) , no.2 , 79-84 .

[3] D.Coker , "An introduction on to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces" , Fuzzy sets and systems , vol.88 , pp , 81-89 , 1997 .

[4] J.C.Kelly , "Bitopological spaces" , Pro C.London Math.Soc. 13(1963) , 71-89 .

[5] K.T.Atanassove , "Intuitionistic fuzzy sets " ,Fuzzy sets and systems 20(1986) ,no.1 , 87-96.

[6] K.T.Atanassove , " Review and new results on intuitionistic fuzzy sets " ,Mathematical Foundation of Artificial Intelligance seminar , sofia , 1988 , pp.1-8.

[7] L.A.Zadeh , "fuzzy sets" , information and control , 18(1965) , 338-353 .

[8] Mohammed J. Mohammed Al-Msafer , "on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Ideal Topological Spaces" , M.S.C. Thesis (2012) .

[9] Mohammed Jassim Taimah , Mohammed Jassim Mohammed , "ON INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY IDEALS BITOPOLOGICAL SPACES" , JOURNAL OF ADVANCES IN MATHEMATICS , ISSN 2347-1921 , VOL.11 , NO.6 , 2015 , PP 5352-5359 .

[10] T.Shyla Isac Mary and P.Thangavelu , "Contra rps-continuous functions ".Asian journal of Current Engineering and Maths , 1(4) , (2012) , 219-221 .